# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

BOSTON SCIENTIFIC CORPORATION and BOSTON SCIENTIFIC SCIMED, INC.,	
Plaintiffs,	)
v.	) Civil Action No. 05-768-SLR
CONOR MEDSYSTEMS, INC.,	) )
Defendant.	) ) )

### [PROPOSED] RULE 16 SCHEDULING ORDER

At Wilmington this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_\_\_, 2006, the parties having satisfied their obligations under Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), and the court having conducted a pretrial scheduling conference pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 and D. Del. LR 16.2(a) and (b).

#### IT IS ORDERED that:

1. Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The parties will exchange by [Plaintiffs' proposal: September 6, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: September 20, 2006] the information required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) and D. Del. LR 16.2.

## 2. Discovery.

(a) Discovery will be needed on the following subjects: [Plaintiffs' proposal: preliminary injunction factors (if Defendant's proposed trial date is adopted)], infringement, willful infringement, validity, enforceability [Defendant's proposal: and damages].

(b) All fact discovery shall be commenced in time to be completed by

[Plaintiffs' proposal: December 6, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: June 22, 2007].

Case 1:05-cv-00768-SLR

- (1) Document production shall be completed on or before [Plaintiffs' proposal: November 6, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: December 31, 2006].
  - (2) Maximum of 25 interrogatories by each party to any other party.
- interrogatories, if filed, shall first be addressed by the party with the burden of proof no later than the date established for the completion of document production, with the responsive answers due within thirty (30) days thereof. The adequacy of all such interrogatory answers shall be judged by the level of detail each party provides; i.e., the more detail a party provides, the more detail a party shall receive.
- (4) Maximum of 100 requests for admission by each party to any other party.
- (5) In the absence of agreement among the parties or by order of the court, no deposition (other than those noticed under Fed. R. Civ. P. 30(b)(6)) shall be scheduled prior to the completion of document production.
- (6) Maximum of [Plaintiffs' proposal: 50 hours] [Defendant's proposal: 100 hours] for fact depositions, each deposition being limited to 7 hours except for the named inventor, whose deposition shall be limited to [Plaintiffs' proposal: 3.5 hours] [Defendant's proposal: 14 hours].
- (c) Expert discovery shall be commenced in time to be completed by [Plaintiffs' proposal: February 14, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: September 21, 2007].

- (1) Expert reports on issues for which the parties have the burden of proof due [Plaintiffs' proposal: December 20, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: July 20, 2007]. Rebuttal expert reports due [Plaintiffs' proposal: January 17, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: August 24, 2007].
- (2) Expert depositions to be limited to a maximum of 7 hours

  [Plaintiffs' proposal: per expert] [Defendant's proposal: per expert report] unless extended by agreement of the parties.
- (3) All *Daubert* motions shall be filed on or before [Plaintiffs' proposal: February 21, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: October 26, 2007].
- (d) If willfulness has been asserted and absent agreement among the parties, the defendant must inform plaintiffs as to whether it intends to rely on advice of counsel by [Plaintiffs' proposal: October 20, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: May 18, 2007]. If the decision is to rely on such advice, the scope of discovery shall include the materials provided by defendant to its [Defendant's proposal: opinion] counsel and whatever other materials related to the issues in dispute that defendant had in its possession at the time the advice was sought.
- (e) Supplementations under Rule 26(e) due [Plaintiffs' proposal: monthly] [Defendant's proposal: March 2, 2007 and May 10, 2007].

#### (f) Discovery Disputes.

	(1)	The court shall c	conduct an in-person disco	overy status conference
on [Plaintiffs'	proposal:	November 8, 2006]	[Defendant's proposal:	January, 2007] from
m. to	m., the ti	me to be allocated ed	qually among the parties.	

(2) The court shall remain available to resolve by telephone conference disputes that arise during the course of a deposition and disputes over the terms of a protective order.

Case 1:05-cv-00768-SLR

- (3) Absent express approval of the court following a discovery conference, no motions pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 37 shall be filed.
- the close of expert discovery, each party shall serve on the other parties a list of each fact witness (including any expert witness who is also expected to give fact testimony), who has previously been disclosed during discovery and that it intends to call at trial. Within one (1) month of receipt of such fact witness list, each party shall serve a list of each rebuttal fact witness that it intends to call at trial. The parties shall have the right to depose any such fact witnesses who have not previously been deposed in this case. Such deposition shall be held within one (1) month after service of the list of rebuttal fact witnesses and shall be limited to twenty (20) hours per side in the aggregate unless extended by agreement of the parties or upon order of the court upon good cause shown.
- 3. Joinder of other Parties and Amendment of Pleadings. All motions to join other parties and amend the pleadings shall be filed on or before [Plaintiffs' proposal: October 6, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: March 30, 2007].
- 4. Settlement Conference. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636, this matter is referred to Magistrate Judge Thynge for the purposes of exploring ADR.
- 5. Claim Construction Issue Identification. If the court does not find that a limited earlier claim construction would be helpful in resolving the case, on [Plaintiffs' proposal: December 6, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: September 21, 2007], the parties shall

exchange lists of those claim terms that they believe need construction and their proposed claim construction of those terms. This document will not be filed with the court. Subsequent to exchanging such lists, the parties will meet and confer to prepare a Joint Claim Construction Statement to be submitted pursuant to paragraph 7 below.

- 6. Summary Judgment Motions. All summary judgment motions shall be served and filed with an opening brief on or before [Plaintiffs' proposal: March 2, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: October 26, 2007]. Briefing shall be pursuant to D. Del. LR 7.1.2. No summary judgment motion may be filed more than ten (10) days from the above date without leave of the court.
- 7. Claim Construction. Lawyers must identify, during the claim construction phase of the case, any claim language that will have a meaning to a person of ordinary skill in the art that differs from the ordinary meaning. Any language not so identified will be construed according to its ordinary meaning.

The parties shall agree upon and file the Joint Claim Construction Statement on [Plaintiffs' proposal: December 13, 2006] [Defendant's proposal: October 5, 2007], with the claim chart separately docketed. The parties will file simultaneous opening claim construction briefs on [Plaintiffs' proposal: March 2, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: October 19, 2007]. Simultaneous response briefs should be filed by [Plaintiffs' proposal: March 30, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: November 2, 2007]. Issues of claim construction shall be considered by the court in conjunction with the summary judgment motion(s). The hearing on the claim construction and motion(s) for summary judgment will be heard on [Plaintiffs' proposal: April 13, 2007] [**Defendant's proposal:** December \_\_\_, 2007] at \_\_\_\_ m.

- 8. **Applications by Motion.** Any application to the court shall be by written motion filed with the clerk. The court will not consider applications and requests submitted by
- letter or in a form other than a motion, absent express approval by the court.
- (a) Any non-dispositive motion should contain the statement required by D. Del. LR 7.1.1.
  - (b) No telephone calls shall be made to chambers.
- (c) Any party with an emergency matter requiring the assistance of the court shall e-mail chambers utilizing the "E-mail Request for Emergency Relief" and "Opposing Counsel's Response" forms posted on Chief Judge Robinson's website and e-mail the completed forms to slr civil@ded.uscourts.gov. The e-mail shall provide a short statement describing the emergency. NO ATTACHMENTS shall be submitted in connection with said e-mails.
- 9. Motions in Limine. No motions in limine shall be filed; instead the parties shall be prepared to address their evidentiary issues at the pretrial conference and during trial (before and after the trial day).
- 10. **Pretrial Conference.** A pretrial conference will be held on [Plaintiffs' proposal: May 14, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: March \_\_\_, 2008] at \_\_\_\_ m. in courtroom 6B, sixth floor Federal Building, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware. The Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and D. Del. LR 16.4 shall govern the pretrial conference.
- Trial. This matter is scheduled for a [Plaintiffs' proposal: four-day jury trial on 11. all issues except damages] [Defendant's proposal: ten-day jury trial on all issues] commencing on [Plaintiffs' proposal: May 21, 2007] [Defendant's proposal: April 14, 2008] in courtroom 6B, sixth floor Federal Building, 844 King Street, Wilmington, Delaware. For purposes of

completing pretrial preparations, the parties should plan on being allocated a total number of hours in which to present their respective cases.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE